# Exoplanets - An Overview

Stéphane Udry, F. Pepe Geneva University, Geneva Observatory





















#### **Planet Detectability with radial velocities**

$$k_{1} = \frac{28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \frac{m_{2} \sin i}{M_{\text{Jup}}} \left( \frac{m_{1} + m_{2}}{M_{\text{Sun}}} \right)^{-2/3} \left( \frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}} \right)^{-1/3}$$

$$= \text{Sun}$$

$$= \text{Sun}$$

$$Jupiter \qquad @ 1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Jupiter \qquad @ 5 \text{ AU} \qquad : 12.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Neptune \qquad @ 0.1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 4.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Neptune \qquad @ 1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Super-Earth (5 M_{\oplus}) \qquad @ 0.1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 1.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Super-Earth (5 M_{\oplus}) \qquad @ 1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 0.45 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$Earth \qquad @ 1 \text{ AU} \qquad : 9 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$$

 $(M_1)$ 

#### **Planet Detectability with radial velocities**

$$k_{1} = \frac{28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \frac{m_{2} \sin i}{M_{Jup}} \left( \frac{m_{1} + m_{2}}{M_{Sun}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-2/3}^{-2/3} \left( \frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}} \frac{1}{2} \right)_{1}^{-1/3}$$

$$M_{1} = \text{Sun}$$

$$M_{1} = \text{Sun}$$

$$M_{1} = \text{Sun}$$

$$M_{1} = \text{Sun}$$

$$M_{1} = \frac{9}{1} \text{ AU} = 28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{1} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 12.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 12.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 12.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 12.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 1.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ AU} = 1.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ method} = 1.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

$$M_{2} \text{ method} = 1.4 \text{ me$$







Planetary mass distribution

Segransan et al. 2009



Planetary mass distribution

Segransan et al. 2009



# (VII) Constraints from transit detections

2000-2010: ~100 transiting planets



# (VII) Constraints from transit detections

2000-2010: ~100 transiting planets





### First transit: HD209485b

1.01

1.00

0.99

relative flux



#### Hubble Space Telescope





#### Mass-radius relation from planets to stars



85 before OHP meeting (Aug 2010)

+ ~20 during meeting (SWASP, HAT, Kepler)

#### Mass-radius relation from planets to stars



85 before OHP meeting (Aug 2010)

+~20 during meeting (SWASP, HAT, Kepler)

Mass-radius relation from formation models? **Exclusion zones**?

# Massive planets: true mass from astrometry

HD 33636 b (Bean et al. 2007)

Radial velocities m2sini=9.3M<sub>Jup</sub> HST Fine Guidance Sensor  $m2 = 142 + - 11 M_{Jup}$ 

late M star companion



# Massive planets: "true" mass from astrometry

 $P_b = 4.6 d$ 

 $P_{c} = 240 \, d$ 

 $P_d = 1281 d$ 

X





#### HR8799 b, c, d : 7, 10 & 10 M<sub>Jup</sub> (Marois et al. 2009)

#### Formalhaut b : 3 M<sub>Jup</sub> HST (Kalas et al. 2009)

115 AU

Fomalhaut b Planet





Beta Pic b :  $8 M_{Jup}$ (Lagrange et al. 2009, 2010) 8 AU



# (I) Planetary mass distribution



# (I) Planetary mass distribution



# (1) Planetary mass distribution.



#### **Planet Detectability with radial velocities**

$$k_{1} = \frac{28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \frac{m_{2} \sin i}{M_{\text{Jup}}} \left(\frac{m_{1} + m_{2}}{M_{\text{Sun}}}\right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}}\right)^{-1/3} \frac{1}{2}$$

 $(M_1 = Sun)$ 

Jupiter	@ 1 AU	: 28.4 m s⁻¹
Jupiter	@ 5 AU	: 12.7 m s <sup>-1</sup>
Neptune	@ 0.1 AU	: 4.8 m s⁻¹
Neptune	@ 1 AU	: 1.5 m s⁻¹
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 0.1 AU	: 1.4 m s⁻¹
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 1 AU	: 0.45 m s <sup>-1</sup>

A few m/s precision OK for giant planets e.g. Jupiters out to > 5 AU

Need  $\sim 1 \text{ m/s}$ for close super-Earths!



Mu Ara: 4 planets system (Pepe et al. 2006)



Mu Ara: 4 planets system (Pepe et al. 2006)

# Encouraging results: Keck + AAT detections as well

HD16417 (O'Tool et al. 2009)

HD1461 (Rivera et al. 2010)

HD215617 (Vogt et al. 2010)



 $P_1 = 17.2 \text{ days}$  $m_1 \text{ sini} = 22 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ O-C=2.7m/s



 $P_1 = 5.77 \text{ days}$  $m_1 \text{ sini} = 7.4 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ 

 $P_2 = 446 \text{ days}$  O-C=2.3m/s  $m_2 \sin i = 28 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$   $P_3 = 5017 \text{ days}$  $m_3 \sin i = 87 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$   $P_1 = 4.21 \text{ days}$  $m_1 \text{ sini} = 5.1 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ 

0

0.2

0.4

0.6

Phase

0.8

1

Radial Velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>

 $P_2 = 38 \text{ days} \qquad \text{O-C=2m/s}$   $m_2 \sin i = 18 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$   $P_3 = 124 \text{ days}$   $m_3 \sin i = 24 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$ 

# The HARPS search for low-mass planets

- Sample of ~400 slowly-rotating, nearby FGK dwarfs from the CORALIE planet-search survey + known planets
- HARPS log(R'<sub>HK</sub>)<-4.8 => ~280 good targets Non evolved (Sousa et al. 2009)
- Observations ongoing since 2004
- Focus on low-amplitude RV variations
   => about 50% of HARPS GTO time (250 nights)
   => continuing with 280 nights over 4 years








### HD10180 : 7-planet system

$\begin{array}{l} P_1 = 1.18 \text{ day} \\ e_1 = 0 \\ m_1 \text{ sini} = 1.5 \text{ M}_\oplus \end{array}$	$P_4 = 49.7 \text{ days}$ $e_4 = 0.06$ $m_4 \text{ sini} = 24.8 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$	$P_7 = 2150 \text{ days}$ $e_7 = 0.15$ $m_7 \text{ sini} = 67 \text{ M}_{\odot}$
$P_2 = 5.76 \text{ days}$ $e_2 = 0.07$ $m_2 \sin i = 13.2 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$	$P_5 = 122.7 \text{ days}$ $e_5 = 0.13$ $m_5 \sin i = 23.4 \text{ M}_{\oplus}$	
$P_3 = 16.4 \text{ days}$ $e_3 = 0.16$ $m_2 \sin i = 11.8 M_{\odot}$	$P_6 = 595 \text{ days}$ $e_6 = 0.0$ $m_6 \sin i = 22 M_{\odot}$	

#### Lovis, Segransan, Udry, Mayor et al. 2010

















#### Low-mass planets... Small-size planets... ....are numerous!



#### Kepler (Borucki et al. 2010)

Abstract. On 1 February 2011 the *Kepler* Mission released data for 156,453 stars observed from the beginning of the science observations on 2 May through 16 September 2009. There are 1235 planetary candidates with transit like signatures detected in this period. These are associated with 997 host stars. Distributions of the characteristics of the planetary candidates are separated into

five class-sizes; 68 candidates of approximately Earth-size ( $R_p \le 1.25 R_{\oplus}$ ), 288 super-Earth size

 $(1.25 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 2 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 662 \text{ Neptune-size } (2 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}, < R_{p} < 6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 165 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{Jupiter-size } (6 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < R_{p} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 15 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus}), 163 \text{ } \text{R}_{\oplus} < 163 \text{ } \text{R}$ 



Keck (Howard et al. 2010, Science)

Monday, June 6, 2011

10

20

M<sub>2</sub>sini [M<sub>Earth</sub>]

30

40

5

Present statistics
 RV: 108 planets in 41 systems:
 ~ 25 % of known exoplanets
 + transit candidates

- Most of them with 2 planets
- HD10180: 7 planets
- 55 Cnc : 5 planets
- Mu Ara, Gl876 : 4 planets
- Ups And, HD69830, HD40307: 3 planets



longest-running programmes

--> largest fraction of multi-planet systems Planets mainly form in multi-planet systems

Present statistics
 RV: 108 planets in 41 systems:
 ~ 25 % of known exoplanets
 + transit candidates

- Most of them with 2 planets
- HD10180: 7 planets
- 55 Cnc : 5 planets
- Mu Ara, Gl876 : 4 planets
- Ups And, HD69830, HD40307: 3 planets



longest-running programmes

--> largest fraction of multi-planet systems Planets mainly form in multi-planet systems

# Planetary multiplicity (at least 1 Neptune or Super-Earth)in VR surveys onlyR Super-Earths

- N Neptune-type
- G Gaseous giant planet

#### • G and K stars (multiple)

-	BD-08:2823	N + G	
-	HD 10180	R + 5N	+ G
-	HD 11964	N + G	
-	HD 40307	3R	
-	HD 47186	N + G	
-	HD 69830	3N	
-	55 Cnc	N + 4G	(HD 75732)
-	HD115617	R + 2N	
-	HD 125612	N + 2G	
-	Mu Ara	N + 3G	(HD 160691)
-	HD 181433	R + 2G	
-	GJ 777A	N + G	(HD 190360)
-	HD 219828	N + G	
-	HD 215497	R + G	

G and K stars (single)

-	HD	1461	R
-	HD	4308	Ν
-	HD	7924	R
-	HD	16417	Ν
_	HD	90156	N
_	HD	97658	R
-	HD	125595	Ν
-	HD	155668	R
	нр	285068	D

# Planetary multiplicity (at least 1 Neptune or Super-Earth)in VR surveys onlyR Super-Earths

- N Neptune-type
- G Gaseous giant planet

#### G and K stars (multiple)

-	BD-08:2823	N + G	
-	HD 10180	R + 5N ·	+ G
-	HD 11964	N + G	
-	HD 40307	3R	
-	HD 47186	N + G	
-	HD 69830	3N	
-	55 Cnc	N + 4G	(HD 75732)
-	HD115617	R + 2N	
-	HD 125612	N + 2G	
-	Mu Ara	N + 3G	(HD 160691)
-	HD 181433	R + 2G	
-	GJ 777A	N + G	(HD 190360)
-	HD 219828	N + G	

- HD 215497 R + G

#### G and K stars (single)

-	HD 1461	R
_	HD 4308	N
_	HD 7924	R
_	HD 16417	Ν
_	HD 90156	Ν
_	HD 97658	R
-	HD 125595	N
-	HD 155668	R

– HD 285968 R

> 65% of
multi-planet systems
=> minimum value

Trend confirmed by unpublished candidates (including curved drift)

## Mult-transiting systems

Kepler (Steffen et al. 2010)

10000

20000

2000

000



3000

10

-10

Phase (Hours)

0.99

## Mult-transiting systems

### Kepler (Steffen et al. 2010)

#### KIO 152

80 85 Time BJD-2454900 -10 20 -20 0 10 Phase (Hours) Phase (Ho



Candidate	$\begin{array}{c} T_{\rm ec} \\ {\rm BJD} \ -2454900 \end{array}$	Period (Days)	Period Ratio (vs. inner)	$egin{array}{c} { m Duration} \ { m (Days)} \end{array}$	$\xi$ (obs.)	$\xi_{\rm MC}$ (predicted)
152.03	$69.622 \pm 0.0053$	$13.478 \pm 0.0098$		$0.2071 \pm 0.0022$	_	-
02	$66.630 \pm 0.0079$	$27.406 \pm 0.0150$	2.03	$0.2823 \pm 0.0060$	0.9291	$1.10_{-0.09}^{+0.46}$
01	$91.747 \pm 0.0026$	> 27 (51.9)	(3.85)	$0.3432 \pm 0.0013$	1.0188	$1.08\substack{+0.36\\-0.07}$
191.02	$65.50\pm0.16$	$2.420 \pm 0.0006$	-	$0.0948 \pm 0.0016$	-	
01	$65.3847 \pm 4 \times 10^{-4}$	$15.359 \pm 0.0004$	6.347	$0.1494 \pm 0.0002$	1.1751	$1.15\substack{+0.60\\-0.13}$
209.02	$78.822 \pm 0.0046$	$18.801 \pm 0.0087$		$0.2884 \pm 0.0018$	_	3 <del></del> -
01	$68.635 \pm 0.0036$	> 29 (49.3)	(2.62)	$0.4252 \pm 0.0007$	0.9429	$1.12_{-0.11}^{+0.68}$
877.01	$103.952 \pm 0.0028$	$5.952 \pm 0.0024$		$0.0962 \pm 0.0012$	<u></u>	
02	$114.227 \pm 0.0051$	$12.039 \pm 0.0077$	2.023	$0.1192 \pm 0.0021$	1.0204	$1.08\substack{+0.47\\-0.07}$
896.02	$107.051 \pm 0.0028$	$6.311 \pm 0.0024$	-	$0.1278 \pm 0.0016$	_	<u>1999</u>
01	$108.568 \pm 0.0024$	$16.242 \pm 0.0075$	2.574	$0.1916 \pm 0.0017$	0.9144	$1.11_{-0.10}^{+0.55}$

TABLE 3

Orbital periods and transit epochs for the candidate planets.

#### TABLE 4

#### Very coplanar?

PLANETARY RADII AND LIKELY RANGE OF MASSES.

Candidate	Planet Radius	Mass Range
152.03	$0.30 R_J$	$9-30~M_E$
02	$0.31 R_J$	$9 - 30 M_E$
01	$0.58 R_J$	$20-100\ M_E$
191.02	$2.04 R_E$	$5 - 18 M_E$
01	$1.06 R_J$	$0.3 - 15 M_J$
209.02	$0.68 R_J$	$25 - 150 M_E$
01	$1.05 R_J$	$0.3 - 15 M_J$
877.01	$2.63 R_E$	$6 - 40 M_E$
02	$2.34 R_E$	$5-25 M_E$
896.02	$0.28 R_J$	$9 - 30 M_E$
01	$0.38 R_J$	$10 - 40 M_E$

1.00

0.996

1.00

0.996

I.00

1.003

1.00

**Relative Inte** 

0.998

Relative Inte 866'0

## Mult-transiting systems

### Kepler (Steffen et al. 2010)

#### KIO 152

TABLE 3

Orbital periods and transit epochs for the candidate planets.



Phase (Hours)

Candidate	$T_{ m ec}$ BJD $-2454900$	Pe ) (D	riod avs)	Period Ratio (vs. inner)	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Duration} \\ \mathrm{(Days)} \end{array}$	$\xi$ (obs.)	$\xi_{\rm MC}$ (predicted)
$ \begin{array}{r} 152.03\\02\\01\\191.02\\01\\209.02\\01\\877.01\end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 69.622 \pm 0.00\\ 66.630 \pm 0.00\\ 91.747 \pm 0.00\\ 65.50 \pm 0.\\ 65.3847 \pm 4 \times 10\\ 78.822 \pm 0.00\\ 68.635 \pm 0.00\\ 103.952 \pm 0.00\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c} \pm 0.0098 \\ \pm 0.0150 \\ 7 (51.9) \\ \pm 0.0006 \\ \pm 0.0004 \\ \pm 0.0087 \\ 0 (49.3) \\ \pm 0.0024 \\ \end{array} $	(vs. inner) - 2.03 (3.85) - 6.347 - (2.62)	$\begin{array}{c} (\text{Days})\\ \hline 0.2071 \pm 0.0022\\ 0.2823 \pm 0.0060\\ 0.3432 \pm 0.0013\\ \hline 0.0948 \pm 0.0016\\ 0.1494 \pm 0.0002\\ \hline 0.2884 \pm 0.0018\\ 0.4252 \pm 0.0007\\ \hline 0.0962 \pm 0.0012\\ \end{array}$	(00s.) - 0.9291 1.0188 - 1.1751 - 0.9429 -	$(predicted)$ $1.10^{+0.46}_{-0.09}$ $1.08^{+0.36}_{-0.07}$ $-$ $1.15^{+0.60}_{-0.13}$ $-$ $1.12^{+0.68}_{-0.11}$ $-$
02	$114.227 \pm 0.00$	051 12.039	+0.0077	2 023	$0.1192 \pm 0.0021$	1 0204	$1.08 \pm 0.47$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
896.02 01	$2.34 R_E$ 0.28 $R_J$ 0.38 $R_J$	$\frac{5-25 M_E}{9-30 M_E}$ $10-40 M_E$			5.0		

### Systems with n>2 planets

<u>multi-planet systems</u>: many are almost optimally ``packed'' (no room for more stability-wise)



Monday, June 6, 2011

### Systems with n>2 planets

<u>multi-planet systems</u>: many are almost optimally ``packed'' (no room for more stability-wise)



Monday, June 6, 2011

### Systems with n>2 planets

multi-planet systems: many are almost optimally ``packed''

## Also a constraint for planet formation models!



Monday, June 6, 2011

Present statistics
 RV: 108 planets in 41 systems:
 ~ 25 % of known exoplanets
 + transit candidates

- Most of them with 2 planets
- HD10180: 7 planets
- 55 Cnc : 5 planets
- Mu Ara, Gl876 : 4 planets
- Ups And, HD69830, HD40307: 3 planets



longest-running programmes

--> largest fraction of multi-planet systems Planets mainly form in multi-planet systems

Present statistics
 RV: 108 planets in 41 systems:
 ~ 25 % of known exoplanets
 + transit candidates

- Most of them with 2 planets
- HD10180: 7 planets
- 55 Cnc : 5 planets
- Mu Ara, Gl876 : 4 planets
- Ups And, HD69830, HD40307: 3 planets



#### longest-running programmes

--> largest fraction of multi-planet systems Planets mainly form in multi-planet systems

Present statistics
 RV: 108 planets in 41 systems:
 ~ 25 % of known exoplanets
 + transit candidates

- Most of them with 2 planets
- HD10180: 7 planets
- 55 Cnc : 5 planets
- Mu Ara, Gl876 : 4 planets
- Ups And, HD69830, HD40307: 3 planets

Structure helps us to understand/ constrain planet-formation scenarios

- => Importance of dynamics
  - (stability, Inner structure,...)

Need for good modeling of evolution processes!

longest-running programmes

--> largest fraction of multi-planet systems Planets mainly form in multi-planet systems



(From G. Marcy, IAU 276)



Prediction of planet formation models in the sep-mass plane

=> Constraints for planet-formation models: Type I migration?

(From G. Marcy, IAU 276)

Some properties of close-in low-mass planets 1) Mass distribution

**Observations** (normalized distribution) 5 4 Planetary fraction [%] 3 2 1 0 1000 10 100 1 M [Earth mass]

Some properties of close-in low-mass planets 1) Mass distribution

Observations (normalized distribution)



Bimodal distribution "solid" & gaseous planets Some properties of close-in low-mass planets 1) Mass distribution



Comparison with planet population synthesis models 1) Mass distribution

Observations (normalized distribution) Models (Mordasini et al. 2009)



Comparison with planet population synthesis models 1) Mass distribution

Observations (normalized distribution) Models (Mordasini et al. 2009)



Comparison with planet population synthesis models 1) Mass distribution

Observations (normalized distribution) Models (Mordasini et al. 2009)



# (II) Orbital períod distribution (giants)



- 1. Peak at short periods -> migration stop
- **2.** Shortage for 10 d < P < 100 d
- 3. N(log P) is rising

# (II) Orbital períod distribution (giants)



- 1. Peak at short periods -> migration stop
- **2.** Shortage for 10 d < P < 100 d
- 3. N(log P) is rising

(II) Orbital períod distribution (giants)



(II) Orbital períod distribution (giants)

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

# (11) Orbital períod dístribution (small mass)

For small-mass planets, no peak at ~3 days. Rise to >15-20 days. farther -> bias.
 migration stops earlier than for gas giants ? No stopping mechanism? Type I ?

![](_page_67_Figure_2.jpeg)

# (11) Orbital períod dístribution (small mass)

For small-mass planets, no peak at ~3 days. Rise to >15-20 days. farther -> bias.
 migration stops earlier than for gas giants ? No stopping mechanism? Type I ?

![](_page_68_Figure_2.jpeg)

# $(\mathcal{IV})$ Exoplanet eccentricities

High observed eccentricities
 - <e> = 0.28 > any planet of the SS

![](_page_69_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_3.jpeg)

# $(\mathcal{IV})$ Exoplanet eccentricities

High observed eccentricities
 - <e> = 0.28 > any planet of the SS

![](_page_70_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Origin? Formation - evolution?**

# $(\mathcal{N})$ Exoplanet eccentricities

High observed eccentricities
 - <e> = 0.28 > any planet of the SS

![](_page_71_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Origin? Formation - evolution?**

### Possible explanations

- Planet-planet interactions
- Planet-planetesimal interaction
- Influence of stellar or planet companion (Kozai effect)
- Planet-disk interaction ( $M_{pl} > 10 M_{Jup}$ )
- Dynamical interactions in a cluster
- Multi-planet migration
- Others
## $(\mathcal{IV})$ Exoplanet eccentricities

High observed eccentricities
 - <e> = 0.28 > any planet of the SS



### **Origin? Formation - evolution?**

#### Possible explanations

- Planet-planet interactions
- Planet-planetesimal interaction
- Influence of stellar or planet companion (Kozai effect)
- Planet-disk interaction ( $M_{pl} > 10 M_{Jup}$ )
- Dynamical interactions in a cluster
- Multi-planet migration
- Others

# (VII) Rossiter-McLaughlin effect



# (VII) Rossiter-McLaughlin effect



#### XO-3 misaligned candidate (Hébrard et al. 2009)

#### Retrograde candidates (Triaud et al. 2010)







### Formation-evolution mechanisms? Alternative models:

- migration by 3-body dynamics (Kozai?)
- evolution of 3 interacting planets?

#### Retrograde candidates (Triaud et al. 2010)



## (V) Properties of planet-host stars: metallicity Giant gaseous planets Stars with planets are more metal rich?



(Gonzalez 1997, 1998, 1999)

Santos et al. 2001-2006 Fischer & Valenti 2002-2005

- Well-defined samples with and without planets
- Uniform analyses
- Large number of stars

Average: 2 regimes flat + power law

Constant probability at low metallicities ?









# (VI) Properties of planet-host stars: primary mass



Equal bin in log(M<sub>star</sub>)

- M dwarfs
- solar stars
- intermediate masses

Planetary system mass planet masses/star number

=> mass of planetary material scales with M<sub>star</sub>

RV bias underestimate the last bin

#### **Planet Detectability with radial velocities**

$$k_{1} = \frac{28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \frac{m_{2} \sin i}{M_{\text{Jup}}} \left(\frac{m_{1} + m_{2}}{M_{\text{Sun}}}\right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}}\right)^{-1/3} \frac{1}{2}$$

Jupiter	@ 1 AU	: 28.4 m s <sup>-1</sup>	
Jupiter	@ 5 AU	: 12.7 m s <sup>-1</sup>	
Neptune	@ 0.1 AU	: 4.8 m s⁻¹	
Neptune	@ 1 AU	: 1.5 m s⁻¹	
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 0.1 AU	: 1.4 m s⁻¹	
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 1 AU	: 0.45 m s⁻¹	
Earth	@ 1 AU	: 9 cm s⁻¹	

A few m/s precision OK for giant planets e.g. Jupiters out to > 5 AU

Need to go below 1 m/s for close super-Earths!

#### **Planet Detectability with radial velocities**

$$k_{1} = \frac{28.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - e^{2}}} \frac{m_{2} \sin i}{M_{\text{Jup}}} \left(\frac{m_{1} + m_{2}}{M_{\text{Sun}}}\right)^{-2/3} \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}}\right)^{-1/3} \frac{1}{2}$$

Jupiter	@ 1 AU	: 28.4 m s⁻¹	A few m/s precision OK
Jupiter	@ 5 AU	: 12.7 m s⁻¹	e.g. Jupiters out to $> 5 \text{ AU}$
Neptune	@ 0.1 AU	: 4.8 m s <sup>-1</sup>	
Neptune	@ 1 AU	: 1.5 m s <sup>-1</sup>	Need to go below 1 m/s
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 0.1 AU	: 1.4 m s <sup>-1</sup>	for close super-Earths!
Super-Earth (5 $M_{\oplus}$ )	@ 1 AU	: 0.45 m s⁻¹	Required an order of
Earth	@ 1 AU	: 9 cm s <sup>-1</sup>	magnitude improvement

Possibly in the habitable zone ;-)



# STELLAR INTRINSIC LIMITATIONS







### SIMULATIONS OF STELLAR NOISE APPLIED TO ... ...ESPRESSO @ VLT

A 2 Earth-mass planet in the habitable zone of a quiet K star (P=200 days),  $Log(R'_{HK}) = -4.9$ 



### From RV rms to detection limits through Monte Carlo simulations



Longer periods => larger possible bins for average => small effect of the period on detection capability

## Future searches ...

#### HARPS-N

- \* HARPS copy for northern hemisphere
  \* Follow-up of KEPLER candidates
  \* Search for Earth analogs
- \* Etc.

#### ESPRESSO@VLT

- \* Better precision on larger telescope
- Aim: 10 cm/s instrumental and photon noise
- Search for Rocky planets in habitable zone & variability of fundamental constants, etc.
- Up to 4 UTs incoherently





### Summary of constraints/questions for theoretical approaches

- Mass distribution
  - Long tail towards high masses => Maximum mass of planets =  $\sim 25 M_{Jup}$
  - Bimodal distribution: gaseous giants vs "solid" planets => occurrence frequency ratio
  - Increase towards lower masses
- Period distribution
  - Increasing distribution (in logP) => reservoir at large sep => Dmax for formation?
  - Giant planets: peak at 3 days, "Solid" planets: no pile-up => migration?
- Multi-planet systems
  - All kinds: only small masses or giants, mixed
  - Systems seem to be packed => planet spacing?
- Eccentricity distribution
  - Large range of observed values => origin? Importance of dynamics!
- Primary star properties
  - Metallicity frequency correlation for gaseous giants, not for small-mass planets
  - Mass of planetary material scales with primary mass
- Constraints from transits
  - Variety in M-R relation (size, density)
  - System geometry (large fraction of misaligned systems) => formation processes?
  - Multi-transiting systems => formation? Importance of dynamics
- Atmospheres
- Habitability